

Ministry of the Environment  
and Climate Change

Office of the Minister

77 Wellesley Street West  
11th Floor, Ferguson Block  
Toronto ON M7A 2T5  
Tel.: 416-314-6790  
Fax: 416-314-6748

Ministère de l'Environnement  
et de l'Action en matière de  
changement climatique

Bureau du ministre

77, rue Wellesley Ouest  
11<sup>e</sup> étage, édifice Ferguson  
Toronto ON M7A 2T5  
Tél. : 416-314-6790  
Téléc.: 416-314-6748



ENV1283MC-2016-2192

SEP 16 2016

Mr. Robert J. Dunford  
Project Manager  
City of Peterborough  
500 George Street North  
Peterborough ON K9H 3R9

Dear Mr. Dunford:

Between February 28, 2014, and March 24, 2014, I received 88 requests asking that the City of Peterborough (City) be required to prepare an individual environmental assessment for the proposed Parkway Corridor (Project).

I am taking this opportunity to inform you that, based on a review of the project documentation, the provisions of the Municipal Engineers Association's Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA), the issues raised in the requests, the City's response to the Minister's Order, and other relevant matters required to be considered under subsection 16(4) of the Environmental Assessment Act, I have decided that an individual environmental assessment (EA) is required for the Project.

Of particular note in my consideration of this matter was the alternative to cross Jackson Park. Despite receiving additional information I have outstanding concerns, some of which appear to overlap with many of the concerns raised in the Part II Order requests.

The reasons for this decision are as follows.

1. The proposed Parkway Corridor may cause significant effects to the natural environment and impacts to noise, specifically with respect to the bridge proposed to cross Jackson Park. The potential effects were not adequately considered. The documentation provided to date by the City has not provided sufficient detail to ensure that potential impacts will be mitigated and the environment protected.

Mr. Robert J. Dunford  
Page 2.

2. Further studies are required to address any impacts to the identified species at risk, as well as the significant natural features including the Provincially Significant Wetland and the significant woodlands and valleyland sites. A new noise assessment should be undertaken for the part that addresses noise issues for the living areas of the properties along the corridor.
3. There continues to be significant public concern with the proposed Project, alternatives evaluation and the potential for environmental impacts. There is a need for additional consultation due to significant public interest and the potential for significant adverse impacts to the public. Further consultation on the Project is required before a decision is made on the Project.
4. For the reasons stated above, the proposed Parkway Corridor differs from projects to which the Class EA applies.
5. In light of the above points, it is my opinion that the purpose of the Environmental Assessment Act cannot be fulfilled through the application of the Class EA, including the position of additional conditions for this Project.

Therefore, I am ordering, pursuant to subsection 16(1) of the Environmental Assessment Act, the City to comply with Part II of the Environmental Assessment Act for the proposed undertaking.

Based on my decision to require an individual EA for the Project, the City cannot proceed with the Project without obtaining my approval pursuant to section 5(1) of the Environmental Assessment Act. In order to obtain approval, you must prepare and submit an individual EA in accordance with Part II of the Environmental Assessment Act. An application for approval under Part II of the Environmental Assessment Act consists of Terms of Reference, to be approved by myself as Minister of the Environment and Climate Change, and the environmental assessment. The environmental assessment will be subject to a government review, following which myself and Cabinet must decide whether or not to approve the proposed undertaking.

Pursuant to subsection 16(2)1 of the Environmental Assessment Act, I am also setting out the following directions with respect to the Terms of Reference that must be prepared.

1. The Terms of Reference shall be prepared in accordance with subsection 6(2)(a) of the Environmental Assessment Act.
2. The Terms of Reference shall ensure that the proponent studies design alternatives that minimize impacts to Jackson Park.

Mr. Robert J. Dunford  
Page 3.

3. Ensure that all technical studies prepared as part of the Environmental Assessment are peer reviewed by appropriate experts and document the review in the Environmental Assessment.
4. The Terms of Reference shall include a consultation plan that outlines, but not limited to, consultation methods with the public on the alternatives and assessment methodology for the alternatives.

As part of that process, I highly recommend that you address the issues outlined above. It is a requirement of the Environmental Assessment Act that the City consult with the public on the Terms of Reference and the environmental assessment.

This Environmental Approvals Branch is responsible for coordinating the submission and review of individual EAs. I encourage you to contact Kathleen Hedley, Director, Environmental Approvals Branch, about the preparation of an individual EA. She can be reached directly at 416-314-7288, or at [kathleen.hedley@ontario.ca](mailto:kathleen.hedley@ontario.ca).

Sincerely,



Glen Murray  
Minister of the Environment and Climate Change

Attachment (s)

c: Requesters  
Mr. Kevin Jones, Project Manager, AECOM  
EA File No. 14019 Parkway Corridor