

Appendix C

From: Stewart Hamilton [stewart.hamilton@cogeco.ca]

Sent: May-23-19 4:30 PM

To: 'dtherrien@peterborough.ca' <dtherrien@peterborough.ca>; Kemi Akapo <kakapo@peterborough.ca>; 'hclarke@peterborough.ca' <hclarke@peterborough.ca>; Gary Baldwin <gbaldwin@peterborough.ca>; Keith Riel <KRiel@peterborough.ca>; Lesley Parnell <lparnell@peterborough.ca>; 'kzippel@peterborough.ca' <kzippel@peterborough.ca>; 'Don Vassiliadis' <DVassiliadis@peterborough.ca>; Dean Pappas (DPappas@peterborough.ca) <DPappas@peterborough.ca>; 'arbeamer@peterborough.ca' <arbeamer@peterborough.ca>; 'swright@peterborough.ca' <swright@peterborough.ca>

Subject: City of Toronto Comments on Bill 108

Your Worship and Members of City Council,

I have found the city of Toronto's response to the province on Bill 108 and wanted to share the information with council. In particular I have attached the information that relates to the Heritage Act. The entire response can be found by following the link.

<http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2019.CC7.3>

Ontario Heritage Act Recommendations

47. City Council request the Province that if the objection process is to be maintained as currently proposed in Bill 108, a time limit be included within which a person may object, by adding to the end of Subsection 27(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, "within 30 days of the notice referred to in Subsection (5)."

48. City Council request the Province to amend Section 27 of the Ontario Heritage Act, to provide for a more efficient process for listings to allow an owner to object to a listing at a statutory public meeting before Council makes any decision, and in turn to make proposed Subsection 27(9) (Restriction on demolition, etc.) applicable from the date that notice is given respecting the proposed listing.

49. City Council request the Province to amend Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act, to provide for a more efficient process as follows:

- a. allow an owner to object to a notice of intention to designate at a statutory public meeting before Council makes any decision respecting designation;
- b. only permit an owner to appeal a notice of intention to designate to the Tribunal, or alternatively only permit an individual who has made an objection at a statutory public meeting to appeal a notice of intention to designate to the Tribunal;
- c. make the decision of Council to state its intention to designate appealable, rather than the By-law itself and delete the time limit for Designation By-laws to be passed; alternatively, extend the time period to pass a Designation By-law to one year; and
- d. if the opportunity to object to the Council's decision remains in the Act, then extend time periods for reconsideration of an intention to designate by Council to 180 days, allow for Council's decision to be appealed, and remove the timeframe within which a Designation By-law must be passed.

50. City Council request the Province to amend Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act to provide clarity on the relationship between the individual heritage values and attributes of properties within the Heritage Conservation Districts and the values and attributes of the District, particularly as it pertains to alterations.

Appendix C

51. City Council request the Province to amend the Ontario Heritage Act Subsections 33(5) and 34(4.1) to change the headings to "Notice of Incomplete Application" and to add the words "that the application is incomplete" after the words "notify the applicant" for clarification.

52. City Council request the Province to amend the Ontario Heritage Act to extend time periods for consideration of alteration from 90 days to 180 days by deleting "90" and replacing it with "180" in Subsections 33(7)1 and 34(4.3)1; and/or make amendments to the Planning Act to state that where an application to alter or demolish is made under Sections 33 or 34 of the Ontario Heritage Act that the timelines in the Ontario Heritage Act prevail to the extent of any conflict for the purposes of the date an appeal may be made under the Planning Act regarding a Planning Act application.

53. City Council request the Province to make the decision of Council to state its intention to designate appealable, rather than the By-law itself, and extend the time period to pass a Designation By-law to one year.

<http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2019.CC7.3>

Sincerely,

Stewart Hamilton
PACAC Committee Chair

From: Stewart Hamilton [<mailto:stewart.hamilton@cogeco.ca>]

Sent: May-10-19 9:38 AM

To: 'dtherrien@peterborough.ca' <dtherrien@peterborough.ca>; Kemi Akapo <kakapo@peterborough.ca>; 'hclarke@peterborough.ca' <hclarke@peterborough.ca>; Gary Baldwin <gbaldwin@peterborough.ca>; Keith Riel <KRiel@peterborough.ca>; Lesley Parnell <lparnell@peterborough.ca>; 'kzipfel@peterborough.ca' <kzipfel@peterborough.ca>; 'Don Vassiliadis' <DVassiliadis@peterborough.ca>; Dean Pappas (DPappas@peterborough.ca) <DPappas@peterborough.ca>; 'arbeamer@peterborough.ca' <arbeamer@peterborough.ca>; 'swright@peterborough.ca' <swright@peterborough.ca>

Cc: Erik Hanson (EHanson@peterborough.ca) <EHanson@peterborough.ca>; 'Karen Rennie' <krennie@peterborough.ca>; 'sclancy@peterborough.ca' <sclancy@peterborough.ca>

Subject: PACAC Response - Ford Government Proposal, Bill 108, More Homes, More Choice Act

Importance: High

Your Worship and Members of City Council,

Attached please find a letter from PACAC regarding proposed Bill 108.

Sincerely,

Stewart Hamilton
PACAC Committee Chair