



*"Too many people live in poverty in Peterborough
and it's time for that to change!"*

**Peterborough
Community
Legal Centre**



**City and County of Peterborough Social Assistance
Discretionary Benefits and Housing Benefits (CSUMB):
Finding the Solution for 2013 and Beyond**

October 1, 2012

Contents:

- I) Executive Summary
 - II) Changes to the Provincial Funding Formula of Discretionary and Housing Benefits
 - III) How Peterborough Can Maintain Discretionary Benefits at 2012 Levels
 - IV) Social and Health Costs of Not Providing Discretionary and Housing Benefits
 - V) Recommended Solution
 - VI) References
- Appendix 1: Estimated City and County Upload Savings 2013-2018
- Appendix 2: Discretionary and Housing Benefits Budget Funding Plan- 2013 to 2018

I. Executive Summary

This document has been prepared by members of the Income Security Work Group of the Peterborough Poverty Reduction Network (PPRN). We strongly recommend that the City and County of Peterborough maintain Discretionary Benefits and Community Start-Up and Maintenance Benefits (CSUMB) in 2013 and beyond despite provincial government cut backs. Unless offset by municipal resources, the provincial cuts will have a devastating impact on some of Peterborough's most vulnerable residents, and on the community as a whole. These impacts may include:

- increased homelessness;
- declining health, with increased demand for acute and long term health care;
- elevated crime levels;
- unemployment;
- family disintegration; and
- stagnation of child development.

(Section IV: Social and Health Costs of Not Maintaining Discretionary and Housing Benefits)

The cuts to provincial funding of Discretionary and Housing Benefits for Social Assistance recipients are significant, and cannot be ignored by the Municipality. The changes require the Municipality to carefully assess its budget commitments and priorities. The cuts come at a unique time, when the Municipality has sufficient funds within the Social Services budget to cover the shortfall, with no tax increases.

This document examines the impact of the cuts from 2013 and through to 2018, and presents a sustainable funding solution for each year.

Based on a set of conservative assumptions, the PPRN estimates the total financial impact of these cut-backs on the Municipality over the 6 years from 2013 to 2018 can readily be met by utilizing:

- 1) provincial funding that is intended for/or has been allocated in place of these benefits (Discretionary Benefits funding up to the cap, plus the re-allocated CSUMB dollars);
- 2) 2013 and 2014 Ontario Works (OW) Mandatory Benefits Up-load Savings and;
- 3) a one- time contribution in 2013 from the Social Services Reserves Fund.

The projections that support these conclusions are found in the document, and summarized in *Appendix 2: Discretionary and Housing Benefits Funding Plan – 2013 to 2018*.

In addition to maintaining Discretionary Benefits and CSUMB Housing Benefits at 2012 levels in 2013 and beyond, the PPRN recommends that the Municipality:

- advocate for annual increases to the provincial contributions to reflect inflation;
- advocate with the Province to make necessary health and non-health-related benefits mandatory for social assistance recipients;
- advocate with the Province to make housing-related benefits mandatory for those in receipt of social assistance;
- determine a local means of sustainably funding a Necessary Benefits Fund.

This report will be shared with the public and presented to the members of the Joint Services Committee as well as City Councilors to assist with discussions leading up to the 2013 budget deliberations.

II. Changes to the Provincial Funding Formula of Discretionary and Housing Benefits

The Context – Discretionary Benefits, Housing Benefits, Social Services Reserves, and the Savings from the ODSP and OW Provincial Up-load:

Discretionary health-related benefits cover costs like basic dental services, eyeglasses, orthotic footwear and hearing aids for adults, as well as funerals and burials. Discretionary non-health related benefits are for items like transit subsidies, recreational subsidies for children and emergency housing-related expenses for which no other coverage is available.

CSUMB is a mandatory benefit that is used to help families pay for the initial costs of establishing a new home (last month's rent deposit, heat/hydro deposits, moving costs, furniture, and other necessities) and/or to pay costs to prevent eviction or disconnection of heat or utilities.

As of July 2012, there was \$4M in Social Services Reserve Fund.¹ Further, over the past three years, the provincial up-load of social assistance has resulted in incremental savings, totaling an accrued \$14M to the end of 2012 (Appendix 1).

Although currently cost-shared, as seen in Table 1, since 2010 the province has been incrementally up-loading social assistance benefits and employment costs as well as redesigning the administration funding subsidy.² By the end of 2012, these up-loads will have provided a cumulative savings to Peterborough of over \$14 million (\$4M to the County, and \$10M to the City). Furthermore, from 2013 to 2018 Peterborough can expect an additional savings of almost \$1M per year.

TABLE 1: Ontario Works Cost Share and Provincial Up-load²

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Municipal Share	19.4%	18.8%	17.2%	14.2%	11.4%	8.6%	5.8%	2.8%	0%
Provincial Share	80.6%	81.2%	82.8%	85.8%	88.6%	91.4%	94.2%	97.2%	100%

Table 2 demonstrates the total cumulative and annual savings to Peterborough from 2013-2018 as a result of the provincial OW up-load.

TABLE 2: Total Annual and Cumulative Savings from Social Assistance Upload from 2013 to 2018 and Beyond

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total Amount of Up-Load Savings Each Year³	\$1,051,273	\$981,189	\$981,189	\$981,189	\$1,051,273	\$981,189
City Portion	\$861,006	\$803,606	\$803,606	\$803,606	\$861,006	\$803,606
County Portion	\$190,267	\$177,583	\$177,583	\$177,583	\$190,267	\$177,583
Cumulative Amount	\$1,051,273	\$2,032,462	\$3,013,651	\$3,994,840	\$5,046,113	\$6,027,302

See Appendix 1 for more detailed information

Discretionary Benefits:

Until July 1, 2012, Discretionary Benefits were cost-shared between the province (82.8%) and the Municipality (17.2%) with no provincial cap on funding of health-related benefits. The Municipality was responsible for deciding which items would be covered under these benefits.

The Ontario Budget, 2012, announced changes that will replace the previous provincial funding formula for health and non-health related Discretionary Benefits delivered through Ontario Works (OW) and the Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP). Effective July 1, 2012 the Provincial government announced it would cap both health and non-health related benefits to a maximum of \$10 per case load per month. Based on past caseloads, the total capped amount will be an estimated \$924,000⁴ (figure is based on OW and ODSP caseloads). Over the next 6 years, the province will annually increase the percentage it covers until 2018 when the province will assume 100% of the capped amount (see Table 1).

Limited, mandatory health-related benefits that are currently provided to people on ODSP will continue to be delivered without change.

Community Start-Up and Maintenance Benefit (CSUMB):

In the Ontario Budget 2012, the provincial government also announced that it will end the Community Start-Up and Maintenance Benefit (CSUMB) as of January 1, 2013.

The provincial government has said that half of the money that previously went to CSUMB from the province (in the case of Peterborough, \$1.265M) will be allocated to the Ministry of Housing and folded in with funding from the Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy. The new consolidated money will be given to municipalities to fund housing and homelessness programs.

III. How Peterborough Can Maintain Discretionary and Housing Benefits at 2012 Levels

The recommendations in this report start with the assumption that the annual and cumulative savings realized by the City and County for the period 2010-2012, as a result of the ODSP and OW Mandatory Benefit up-load, have been allocated and are not available. However, utilizing savings from the *projected* OW up-loads in 2013 and 2014 (refer to Table 1), plus the use in 2013 of some Social Services Reserves (which totaled \$4M in July 2012), will effectively maintain Discretionary Benefits and CSUMB at 2012 levels.

Discretionary Benefits:

Table 3 illustrates the budgeted and actual expenditures for Discretionary Benefits in 2012, and the breakdown of what would be required for 2013 expenditures, if Discretionary Benefits were to be funded at 2012 “actual” levels. All of these calculations are based on the assumption that Peterborough’s 2013 projected Discretionary Benefits Provincial Cap will be \$924,000 as previously noted. There will be additional savings to the Municipality due to an annual increase in the percentage of provincial funding up to the \$924,000 cap from 2013 to the end of 2018 (as per Table 1).

TABLE 3: Projected Municipal Contribution Needed to Maintain Discretionary Benefits

	2012 Original Budget		2012 Actual		2013 Projected	
	\$\$	%	\$\$	%	\$\$	%
Total Required	\$2,549,049	100%	\$1,950,438	100%	\$1,950,438 (same as 2012 actual)	100%
Less: Provincial Contribution	\$2,110,612	82.8%	\$1,189,670	61%	\$792,792 ⁱⁱ	40.6% (of total)
Less: Municipal Contribution	\$438,437	17.2%	\$760,768 ⁱ	39%	\$131,208 ⁱⁱⁱ	6.7% (of total)
Municipal Contribution offset by Transit Revenue	\$67,720		\$67,720		\$67,720 ^{iv}	
Sub-total Municipal Contribution	\$370,717		\$693,048		\$63,488	
City portion ^v	\$315,383	82%	\$589,091	82%	\$52,060 Approx.	82%
County portion ^v	\$55,333	18%	\$103,957	18%	\$11,427 Approx.	18%
Shortfall to Maintain Discretionary Benefits Total:	\$0		\$0		-\$1,094,158	

- i. Covered by savings in Mandatory Benefits due to a lower than anticipated OW caseload and a contribution from the Social Services Reserve Fund
- ii. 85.8% of the estimated \$924,000 Peterborough cap in 2013
- iii. 14.2% of the estimated \$924,000 Peterborough cap in 2013
- iv. This figure assumes that there will be no change to the transit subsidy, and to the contribution to the Social Assistance revenue stream from transit
- v. Percentages are based on the distribution of caseloads between the City and the County of Peterborough

Table 4 illustrates the total projected municipal contributions needed in order to maintain Discretionary Benefits in 2013.

TABLE 4: Projected 2013 Total Municipal Contribution to Maintain Discretionary Benefits

	2013 Projected	
	\$\$	%
Shortfall Total:	\$1,094,158	100%
City Portion	\$897,209	82%
County Portion	\$196,948	18%
Add: 2013 Projected Municipal Contribution (up to cap)	\$63,488	
Total Municipal Contribution to Maintain Discretionary Benefits in 2013	\$1,157,646	

Housing Benefits (CSUMB):

Table 5 illustrates the total cost of CSUMB in 2012, and a breakdown of what would be required for 2013 expenditures, assuming CSUMB is funded at the 2012 actual level in 2013. All of these calculations are based on the assumption that the new 2013 projected Provincial contribution will be 50% of their 2012 funding or \$1.265M (as part of the Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy)

TABLE 5: Projected 2013 Municipal Contributions Needed in Order to Maintain CSUMB

	2012		2013	
	\$\$	%	\$\$	%
Total Cost of CSUMB⁵	\$2.8M	100%	\$2.8M	100%
Less: Total Provincial Contribution (to Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy)	\$2.53M	90.4%	\$1.265M	45.6%
Less: Total Municipal Contribution	\$270,000	9.6%	\$270,00	8.8%
Total Additional Municipal contributions needed maintain CSUMB:	0		\$1.265M	

Doing the Math - Peterborough Can Pay for Discretionary and Housing (CSUMB) Benefits at 2012 Levels:

Table 6 shows that savings from the projected Ontario Works provincial up-loads in 2013 and 2014 (Table 1), plus the use of some Social Services Reserves in 2013, would effectively maintain Discretionary Benefits and CSUMB at 2012 levels. It is also important to note that while there are *annual* savings from the up-load of approximately \$1M, there are also *cumulative* savings from 2013 onwards (Table 2).

Table 6: “Total Savings” includes savings as a result of the OW up-load plus the reduced municipal share as a result of the lower cap.

TABLE 6: Total Municipal Savings

	2013		2014		2015	
	\$\$	%	\$\$	%	\$\$	%
Total Amount of Annual Up-load Savings for Each Year³	\$1,051,273	100%	\$981,189	100%	\$981,189	100%
City portion	\$861,006	82%	\$803,606	82%	\$803,606	82%
County portion	\$190,267	18%	\$177,583	18%	\$177,583	18%
Savings to Municipal Contributions	\$307,229		\$333,096		\$358,968	
Municipal Contribution to Discretionary Benefits (2012 original budget)	\$370,717		\$370,717		\$370,717	
Less: Municipal Contribution Up to Cap	\$63,488		\$37,621 ⁱ		\$11,749 ⁱ	
Total Savings	+\$1,358,502		+\$1,314,285		+\$1,340,157	

i Figures based on decrease of municipal contributions to cap as per Table 1.

Table 7 demonstrates how the Municipality can afford to fund Discretionary and Housing Benefits (at 2012 levels) in 2013 and beyond. This is achieved by utilizing the OW up-load savings in 2013 and 2014, combined with \$1 M from the Social Services Reserve Funds in 2013 (currently \$4 M). From 2015 on, the Municipality would have significant annual and cumulative savings from additional up-load savings.

TABLE 7: Total Municipal Contribution Needed from Up-load Savings and the Social Service Reserve Fund

	2013	2014	2015
Total Savings	\$1,358,502	\$2,365,558 ⁱ	\$3,372,619 ⁱ
Total Shortfall	\$2,359,158	\$2,359,158	\$2,359,158
Discretionary Benefits	\$1,094,158	\$1,094,158	\$1,094,158
CSUMB	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000
Net Gain/Loss (+/-)	-\$1,000,656	+\$6,400	+\$1,013,461
Additional Contribution from Social Services Reserve Fund	-\$1,000,656	-	-

- i. The sub-totals for 2014- 2018 are based on the *cumulative* municipal savings as a result of the OW up-load. They also take into account *cumulative savings* due to the reduced municipal share of the cap (see Appendix 2).

IV. Social and Health Costs of Not Providing Discretionary and Housing Benefits

As of March 2011, Peterborough had a higher percentage of people on Social Assistance than other municipalities in the region.² Almost 1 in every 10 people rely on Social Assistance and almost 50% of these recipients of Social Assistance are under the age of 30.² People are not on OW or ODSP by choice, but because of life’s circumstances – a workplace shuts down, a woman leaves an abusive partner, illness strikes, etc.

Our most vulnerable people, and indeed our *entire community*, will be impacted if the Municipality chooses to not maintain these necessary Discretionary Benefits and Housing Benefits (CSUMB). While it may be difficult to precisely quantify the costs associated with the

impact, there is no question that the *costs will be significant and that they will well exceed the cost of continuing to fund these benefits.*

If the Municipality chooses *not* to fund these necessary benefits, some of the ways in which individuals and the community will pay the price in the short and long term include:

- increased strain on social services, community agencies, and faith communities to fill in the gaps, especially related to personal health needs like food insecurity, housing instability, children’s recreation, and children’s safety equipment^{6, 7 & 8};
- an increase in homelessness and an increased need for shelters^{8 & 9};
- higher risks of ill health, injury and accidental death in the home as families will not be able to afford to move out of homes that are unsafe or in disrepair¹⁰;
- all fuel and energy providers, including Peterborough Utility Services, will accrue bad debt due to utility cut offs;
- landlords, including all non-profit and affordable housing providers, will increasingly accrue bad debt as families on social assistance will be unable to afford a rent deposit or a payment plan⁸;
- most people on assistance will be unable to afford the transit pass, and will be unable to access employment opportunities, food banks, food security programs, counseling, addiction services, and other vital programs⁶;
- increased risk of crime as people struggle to meet their basic needs. More police resources may be required¹¹;
- more children will go into care of the Children’s Aid Society (due to increased evictions and homelessness, and as parents become less able to meet their children’s basic needs)⁷;
- an increase in serious health conditions and strain on the health care system, especially the Emergency Room and the Hospital. Evidence that poverty makes people sick is well established¹²;
- approximately 800 children in our community will lose support for programming and activities without the recreation subsidy program - which has been proven to protect against the negative effects of poverty⁶;

- a significant decline in the quality of life, and in people’s ability to live, learn, work and actively participate in society with the elimination of dental care/dentures, vision care, hearing aids and other critical health benefits⁶.

V. Recommended Solution

The Peterborough Poverty Reduction Network (PPRN) is a broad-based network with hundreds of agency and individual members. PPRN consulted with the community and received a key message – these necessary Discretionary Benefits and Housing Benefits (CSUMB) must be maintained⁷. The community is looking to its local municipal government to make decisions that will protect our vulnerable individuals and families.

PPRN has done the math, and we know that the ODSP and OW up-load savings and the Social Services Reserve Fund combined, give the Municipality an opportunity to fund Discretionary Benefits and CSUMB at 2012 “actual” levels in 2013 and beyond.

The following outlines PPRN’s recommendations to the Municipality, supported by figures in Table 7 and Appendix 2:

2013:

- Create a separate municipal fund entitled “Necessary Benefits” to cover the cost of those services and items that will no longer be covered by provincial funding of Discretionary Benefits.
- Contribute \$370,717 to Discretionary/Necessary Benefits in 2013, as per the original 2012 budget.
- Use the 2013 up-load savings to cover additional municipal costs for Discretionary/Necessary Benefits.
- Contribute \$270,000 as per 2012 from the Social Services budget for a new municipal CSUMB.
- Cover the CSUMB shortfall with the balance of 2013 up-load savings and \$1M from Social Service Reserves.

2014:

- Use the 2013 and 2014 up-load savings to cover Discretionary/Necessary Benefits and Housing Benefits.

2015-2018:

- Use the 2013 and 2014 up-load savings as above. For each subsequent year, reinvest 70% of the additional up-load savings into the Social Services budget.

Also, in the short and long-term:

- advocate for annual increases to the provincial contributions to reflect inflation;
- advocate with the Province to make necessary health and non-health-related benefits mandatory for Social Assistance recipients;
- advocate with the Province to make housing-related benefits mandatory for those in receipt of Social Assistance;
- determine a local means of sustainably funding a Necessary Benefits Fund so that in future years the benefits will be protected and improved.

VI. References

1. Doherty, K., City of Peterborough, Director of Community Services. July 23, 2012, "Discretionary Benefits Report to Committee of the Whole".
2. Social Services Division. September 16, 2011. "Beyond the Budget 2012: A Review of best practices, performance data and literature related to budget considerations for the City and County of Peterborough."
3. City of Peterborough Social Services, July 12, 2012. "Estimated City and County Upload Savings 2010 – 2018."
4. Doherty, K., City of Peterborough, Director of Community Services. June 14th, 2012, "Discretionary Benefit Report to Joint Services Committee".
5. City of Peterborough Social Services, August 19, 2012. "Municipal Delegation Request Form: Ontario Works Changes." Presented to Minister of Community and Social Services at the Association of Municipalities of Ontario annual conference.
6. Post, C. September 12, 2012. "Cuts to Social Assistance Benefits: A Public Health Perspective." Peterborough County-City Health Unit.
7. Peterborough Poverty Reduction Network. June 21, 2012. "What Changes Are Being Made to Social Assistance Benefits: A Community Perspective on the Impact of these Changes".
8. Personal Communication with the Housing Resource Centre (CCRC), October 1, 2012.
9. YMCA Canada, 2012. "When There's No Place Like Home-A Snapshot of Women's Homelessness in Canada," p.1. Retrieved from: www.ywcacanada.ca
10. Laidley, J. and Todorow, M. June 7 2012. "Oral Deputation to the Standing Committee on Finance and Economic Affairs." Income Security Advocacy Centre.
11. Ontario Association of Food Banks, November 2008 "The Cost of Poverty: An Analysis of the Economic Cost of Poverty in Ontario".
12. Lightman, E. et al, Dec. 2008. "Poverty is making us sick: A comprehensive survey of income and health in Canada." Wellesley Institute.

Estimated City Upload Savings in 2013-2018

Description	2009	Actual Savings		2013-2018 Budgeted Amount Affected by Upload	Estimated Savings (based on 2013 budget)					Total Savings Estimated & Actual	
		2010	2011		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		2017
OW Mandatory Benefits	141,622	148,958	25,236,000	415,546	757,080	706,608	706,608	706,608	757,080	706,608	5,046,717
OW Discretionary Benefits	11,506	10,522	845,640	35,638	25,369	23,678	23,678	23,678	25,369	23,678	203,116
Uploaded Portion of OW Admin/ES	14,456	13,584	2,823,854	37,049	69,467	64,836	64,836	64,836	69,467	64,836	463,336
Emergency Hostels - Upload of PerDiem	3,660	3,885	1,059,522	11,469	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,015
Additions Services	1,796	1,774	369,523	4,848	9,090	8,484	8,484	8,484	9,090	8,484	60,535
Total Upload Savings	173,040	178,693	30,334,539	504,550	861,006	803,606	803,606	803,606	861,006	803,606	5,792,719
ODSP Upload Savings	3,249,780	3,883,964									7,133,744
Less: OMPF Reduction	(2,935,600)										(2,935,600)
Total Savings after OMPF Reduction and ODSP	487,220	4,062,657									9,990,862
OW Upload - Municipal Cost Share	20.0%	19.4%		17.2%	14.2%	11.4%	8.6%	5.8%	2.8%	0.0%	

ASSUMPTIONS

ODSP Admin, EA, Additions cost shared with County - City portion 82% of total Municipal savings per 2013 budget (OW Caseload split)
 Assume caseload to remain constant from 2013 to 2018
 Discretionary benefits remain constant from 2013 onwards
 Hostel Bed Days to remain constant
 No changes in OW Admin Upload and Additions envelopes
 2010 Upload was Employment Services, not OW Admin
 Emergency Hostels become part of Consolidated Homelessness Program in 2013, assumed no further upload savings

July 12/12

Estimated County Upload Savings in 2013-2018

Description	Actual Savings		2013-2018 Budgeted Amount Affected by Upload	Estimated Savings (based on 2013 budget)					Total Savings Estimated & Actual	
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		2017
OW Mandatory Benefits (based on 2013 budget)	31,266	31,379	5,582,437	73,866	167,473	156,308	156,308	156,308	156,308	1,096,690
OW Discretionary Benefits (based on 2013 budget)	1,636	1,437	185,000	5,147	5,550	5,180	5,180	5,550	5,180	40,040
Uploaded Portion of OW Admin/ES	3,391	3,389	2,823,854	8,133	15,249	14,232	14,232	15,249	14,232	102,339
Emergency Hostels - Upload of PerDiem	859	971	1,059,522	2,518	-	-	-	-	-	4,348
Additions Services	421	443	369,523	1,064	1,995	1,862	1,862	1,995	1,862	13,369
Total Upload Savings	37,573	37,620	10,020,336	90,728	190,267	177,583	177,583	180,267	177,583	1,256,786
ODSP Upload Savings	690,927	853,764								1,544,691
Total Savings after ODSP	728,499	891,384								2,801,477
OW Upload - Municipal Cost Share	20.0%	19.4%		17.2%	14.2%	11.4%	8.6%	5.8%	2.8%	0.0%

ASSUMPTIONS

- ODB, ODSP Admin, EA, Additions cost shared with County - County portion 18% of total Municipal savings per 2013 budget (OW Caseload split)
- Assume caseload to remain constant from 2013 to 2018
- Discretionary benefits remain constant from 2013 onwards
- Hostel Bed Days to remain constant
- No changes in OW Admin Upload and Additions envelopes
- No OMPF affects for County
- 2010 Upload was Employment Services, not OW Admin
- Emergency Hostels become part of Consolidated Homelessness Program in 2013, assumed no further upload savings

July 12/12

APPENDIX 2: DISCRETIONARY AND HOUSING BENEFITS BUDGET FUNDING PLAN - 2013 to 2018

	2012 (Actual)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Discretionary Benefits							
Provincial Contribution	\$1,189,670	\$792,792	\$818,664	\$844,536	\$870,408	\$898,128	\$924,000
Municipal Contribution (at 2012 original budget levels)	\$760,768	\$370,717	\$370,717	\$370,717	\$370,717	\$370,717	\$370,717
Less: Offset from Municipal Transit Revenue	--	\$67,715	\$67,715	\$67,715	\$67,715	\$67,715	\$67,715
Total Net Contributions	\$1,950,438	\$1,231,224	\$991,715	\$991,715	\$991,715	\$991,715	\$991,715
Total Costs	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438	\$1,950,438
Net Municipal Surplus / Deficit	\$0	-\$719,214	-\$958,723	-\$958,723	-\$958,723	-\$958,723	-\$958,723
CSUMB Benefits							
	(Estimate)						
Provincial Contribution	\$2,530,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000
Municipal Contribution (at 2012 Levels)	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$270,000
Total Net Contributions	\$2,800,000	\$1,535,000	\$1,535,000	\$1,535,000	\$1,535,000	\$1,535,000	\$1,535,000
Total Costs	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000
Net Municipal Surplus / Deficit	\$0	-\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000	\$1,265,000
Total Investment for Discretionary Benefits and CSUMB							
Provincial Contribution	\$3,719,670	\$2,057,792	\$2,083,664	\$2,109,536	\$2,135,408	\$2,163,128	\$2,189,000
Municipal Contribution (at 2012 original budget levels)	\$1,030,768	\$640,717	\$640,717	\$640,717	\$640,717	\$640,717	\$640,717
Total Costs	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438	\$4,750,438
Net Municipal Surplus / Deficit	\$0	-\$2,051,929	-\$2,026,057	-\$2,000,185	-\$1,974,313	-\$1,946,593	-\$1,920,721
Less: Cumulative OW Upload Savings	--	\$1,051,273	\$2,032,462	\$3,013,651	\$3,994,840	\$5,046,113	\$6,027,302
Less: Injection from Social Service Reserves	--	\$1,000,656	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Municipal Surplus/Deficit	\$0	\$0	\$6,405	\$1,013,466	\$2,020,527	\$3,099,520	\$0
Social Service Reserves Balance	\$4,000,000	\$2,999,344	\$2,999,344	\$2,999,344	\$2,999,344	\$2,999,344	\$2,999,344
Accrued OW Upload Savings from 2013 Onward	--	\$1,051,273	\$2,032,462	\$3,013,651	\$3,994,840	\$5,046,113	\$6,027,302
Accrued OW Upload Savings for Use at City/County Discretion	--	\$0	\$6,405	\$815,800	\$1,822,861	\$2,901,854	\$3,908,915